

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/054.171	01/17/2002	Kai-Uwe Lewandrowski	CSI 126	4535
23579	7590 03/25/2003			
PATREA L. PABST HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP SUITE 2000, ONE ATLANTIC CENTER			EXAMINER	
			YU, GINA C	
1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309-3400		E.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1617	3
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/054,171	LEWANDROWSKI, KAI-UWE				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
•		Gina C. Yu	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□		— · s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/054,171

Art Unit: 1617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-19, drawn to a method of detecting osteoporosis, classified in class 435, subclass 811.
- II. Claims 20-27, drawn to a method of treating osteoporosis, classified in class 435, subclass 7.21.
- III. Claim 28, drawn to a kit, classified in class 435, subclass 808.
- IV. Claim 29, drawn to a composition, classified in class 514, subclass 12.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the method of detecting and treating osteoporosis are not disclosed as capable of use together, and they have different modes of operation, functions, and effects.

Similarly, inventions I and IV are unrelated, as the method of detecting osteoporosis and a composition for treating the disease are not disclosed as capable of concurrent use, and they have different modes of operation, functions, and effects.

Inventions II and III are also unrelated, since the method of treating osteoporosis and the disease detecting kit are not disclosed as capable of use together, and they have different modes of operation, functions, and effects.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,171

Art Unit: 1617

Inventions III and IV are also unrelated, since the osteoporosis detecting kit in invention III and the treatment composition in invention IV are not capable of use together, and they have different modes of operation, functions, and effects.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method of detecting osteoporosis can be practiced with a product that is materially different from the kit defined in invention III.

Inventions IV and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process for treating osteoporosis as defined in invention II can be practiced with a composition that is materially different from the invention IV.

These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter. The search required for Group I is not required for Groups II, III, and IV; the search required for Group II is not required for Groups III and IV; the search required for Group III is not required for Group IV. The claims as presented would impose serious

Application/Control Number: 10/054,171

Art Unit: 1617

Page 4

burden on the examiner to conduct meaningful search and examination. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is thus proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 703-308-3951.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Gina C. Yu Patent Examiner March 23, 2003

SREENI PADMANABHAN